



Contribution Rate Setting

Final Proposal
July 25, 2012

Options

- **Maintain Existing Contribution Rates**
 - 100% of EANC based on 2007 Valuation Report
- **Adjust Contribution Rates to New EANC**
 - 100% of EANC based on 2011 Valuation Report
- **Suspend Temporary Funding Policy**
 - Return to aggregate actuarial cost method
 - Minimum rate floor
 - Asset smoothing corridor

Maintain Current Rates

- 100% of EANC as of 2007 Valuation Report
Plus Cost of Fish and Wildlife Enforcement
Officers Service Credit Transfer Bill

	Contribution Rate
Member	8.46%
Employer	5.08%
State	3.38%

Use New EANC Rate

- 100% of EANC as of 2011 Valuation Report

	Contribution Rate
Member	8.41%
Employer	5.05%
State	3.36%

Suspend Temporary Funding Policy

- Aggregate Rate as of 2011 Valuation Report
 - 90% of 2011 EANC as floor

	Contribution Rate
Member	7.57%
Employer	4.54%
State	3.03%

Comparison

	Maintain Current Rate	Use New EANC Rate	Suspend Temporary Funding Policy
Member	8.46%	8.41%	7.57%
Employer	5.08%	5.05%	4.54%
State	3.38%	3.36%	3.03%

Any Questions?

- **Contact:**

Gregory M. Deam

Senior Research and Policy Manager

360.586.2325

greg.deam@leoff.wa.gov

2100 Evergreen Park Dr, Olympia, WA 98502
PO Box 40918 Olympia, WA 98504
360.586.2320 or www.leoff.wa.gov





July 25, 2012

CONTRIBUTION RATE SETTING

FINAL PROPOSAL

By Greg Deam
Senior Research & Policy Manager
360-586-2325
greg.deam@leoff.wa.gov

ISSUE

The Board is required by law to adopt member, employer, and state LEOFF Plan 2 contribution rates for the 2013-15 biennium no later than July 31, 2012. The Board has previously adopted rates through June 30, 2017 but may consider changes.

PROPOSAL SUMMARY

The Board will choose between one of the following three options to set the contribution rates for the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement System.

Option 1: Maintain Existing Fixed Contribution Rate through June 30, 2017

Under this option the Board is not required to do anything. The contribution rates will continue at 100% of the entry age normal cost (EANC) based on the *2007 Actuarial Valuation Report* plus one basis point member, one basis point employer for the Fish and Wildlife Enforcement Officers' Service Credit Transfer bill from 2009 (member rate 8.46%; employer rate 5.08%; state rate 3.38%).

Option 2: Adjust to a New EANC

Under this option the Board would adopt a contribution rate of 100% of the EANC based on the *2011 Actuarial Valuation Report* (member rate 8.41%; employer rate 5.05%; state rate 3.36%).

Option 3: Suspend the Temporary Funding Policy and Return to the Underlying Policy

Under this option the contribution rate would be based on the aggregate actuarial cost of the plan as of the *2011 Actuarial Valuation Report* with adjustments, as needed, for the rate floor and the 30% maximum/minimum asset corridor (rate floor adjusted: member rate 7.57%; employer rate 4.54%; state rate 3.03%).

MEMBERS IMPACTED

Any change to the existing LEOFF Plan 2 contribution rates would impact all active members, employers and the state. As of June 30, 2010 there were 16,775 active members as reported in the Office of the State Actuary's *2010 Actuarial Valuation Report*.

CURRENT SITUATION

The current adopted contribution rates are 8.46% member, 5.08% employer and 3.38% state and are effective through June 30, 2017. The contribution rate is calculated at one hundred percent of the EANC of the plan based on the Actuary's *2007 Actuarial Valuation Report*. The rate includes an additional one basis point each for member and employer rates for the cost of the Fish and Wildlife Enforcement Officers Service Credit Transfer legislation (SHB 1953) passed during the 2009 legislative session. The contribution rates include funding for the medical premiums for members retired under catastrophic duty disability and survivors of members killed in the line of duty.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION & POLICY ISSUES

The Board has a statutory duty to set contribution rates for LEOFF Plan 2 in even-numbered years. Prior to the creation of the Board in July 1, 2003 under Initiative 790, basic contribution rates for LEOFF Plan 2 were set by the Pension Funding Council (PFC), subject to revision by the Legislature. The PFC would receive contribution rate recommendations from the Office of the State Actuary (OSA) on all of the state retirement plans, including LEOFF Plan 2 and this same process is used today for all of the other state retirement systems. After the creation of the Board, OSA now makes contribution rate recommendations for LEOFF Plan 2 directly to the Board and the Board sets contribution rates.

In 2004, as part of its strategic plan, the Board identified financial integrity and contribution rate stability as two of its top four goals. The first step in achieving financial integrity was to increase contribution rates to meet the levels needed to fund current benefits. The Board realized the contribution rates which had been artificially low could not be raised to the full extent needed without creating financial hardships for the members, employers and state. Instead the Board adopted a four-year plan of annual increases to raise rates through June 30, 2009 (see Appendix A).

In order to achieve the second goal, the Board adopted two policies to help stabilize long-term contribution rates. One was the adoption of a minimum contribution rate of 90% of the EANC of the plan. The second was to establish a funding corridor. Under the funding corridor policy a 30% maximum and minimum ratio of actuarial to market asset value was established. This helps ensure rates do not remain artificially too high or low. In addition to these policies the Legislature passed a statutory funding policy in 2003 that allows gains and losses to be "smoothed" over a period of up to eight years, depending on the magnitude of the deviation between actual investment return and the current return assumption.

In July 2008 the Board adopted a temporary change in funding policy by adopting fixed rates for the next four years (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2013) that were equal to 100% of the EANC as of June 30, 2007. Then in July 2010, as part of their two-year rate-setting cycle, the Board reviewed the existing funding policy and extended the temporary funding policy through June 30, 2017.

This temporary funding policy allows the Board to maintain rate stability and 100% funded status through June 2017. The Board's policy will allow for the fund to recognize all of the losses from 2008 and 2009 without having to increase contribution rates. Most of the other Washington plans will have significant pressure to increase rates in the next two biennia as they recognize the same losses from 2008 and 2009.

POLICY ISSUE

The policy issue to be addressed by the Board is to determine whether or not to use a fixed contribution rate under the current temporary funding policy or to suspend the temporary funding policy and use the aggregate funding policy with the rate floor and asset smoothing corridor. Both methods have pros and cons.

The advantage under the temporary funding policy is the predictability of rates. A disadvantage under this funding policy is the possibility of creating an unfunded liability either positive or negative.

The advantage under the aggregate funding method is the pension fund is always 100% funded. A disadvantage of this funding method is the volatility of contribution rates.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Appendix A: 2005–2009 Weighted Annual Contribution Rate Increase Schedule

Appendix B: Historical Contribution Rates

Appendix C: Rate-Setting Statutes

Appendix D: Aggregate and Entry Age Normal Cost Funding Methods Defined

APPENDIX A

2005-2009 WEIGHTED ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION RATE INCREASE SCHEDULE

YEAR	MEMBER	EMPLOYER	STATE
July 1, 2005	6.75%	4.05%	2.70%
July 1, 2006	7.55%	4.53%	3.02%
July 1, 2007	8.30%	4.98%	3.32%
July 1, 2008	8.49%	5.09%	3.39%

APPENDIX B
HISTORICAL CONTRIBUTION RATES

EFFECTIVE DATE	MEMBER	EMPLOYER	STATE
10/1/77	8.14%	4.88%	3.26%
7/1/79	8.08%	4.85%	3.23%
7/1/81	7.74%	4.65%	3.09%
7/1/83	7.90%	4.74%	3.16%
7/1/85	7.00%	4.70%	3.13%
7/1/87	8.09%	4.85%	3.24%
7/1/89	7.60%	4.56%	3.04%
1/1/92	7.01%	4.21%	2.80%
9/1/93	8.41%	5.05%	3.36%
9/1/96	8.43%	5.06%	3.37%
9/1/97	8.48%	5.09%	3.39%
7/1/99	5.87%	3.52%	2.35%
5/1/00	5.41%	3.25%	2.16%
9/1/00	6.78%	4.07%	2.71%
7/1/01	4.50%	2.70%	1.80%
4/1/02	4.39%	2.64%	1.75%
7/1/03	5.05%	3.03%	2.02%
2/1/04	5.07%	3.04%	2.03%
9/1/04	5.09%	3.06%	2.03%
7/1/05	6.75%	4.05%	2.70%
9/1/05	6.99%	4.20%	2.79%
7/1/06	7.79%	4.68%	3.11%
9/1/06	7.85%	4.72%	3.13%
7/1/07	8.60%	5.17%	3.43%
9/1/07	8.64%	5.19%	3.45%
7/1/08	8.83%	5.30%	3.53%
7/1/09	8.45%	5.07%	3.38%
9/1/09	8.46%	5.08%	3.38%

APPENDIX C RATE-SETTING STATUTES

RCW 41.26.725

Board of trustees — Contributions — Minimum and increased benefits

(1) The board of trustees shall establish contributions as set forth in this section. The cost of the minimum benefits as defined in this plan shall be funded on the following ratio:

Employee contributions 50%

Employer contributions 30%

State contributions 20%

(2) The minimum benefits shall constitute a contractual obligation of the state and the contributing employers and may not be reduced below the levels in effect on July 1, 2003. The state and the contributing employers shall maintain the minimum benefits on a sound actuarial basis in accordance with the actuarial standards adopted by the board.

(3) Increased benefits created as provided for in RCW [41.26.720](#) are granted on a basis not to exceed the contributions provided for in this section. In addition to the contributions necessary to maintain the minimum benefits, for any increased benefits provided for by the board, the employee contribution shall not exceed fifty percent of the actuarial cost of the benefit. In no instance shall the employee cost exceed ten percent of covered payroll without the consent of a majority of the affected employees. Employer contributions shall not exceed thirty percent of the cost, but in no instance shall the employer contribution exceed six percent of covered payroll. State contributions shall not exceed twenty percent of the cost, but in no instance shall the state contribution exceed four percent of covered payroll. Employer contributions may not be increased above the maximum under this section without the consent of the governing body of the employer. State contributions may not be increased above the

maximum provided for in this section without the consent of the legislature. In the event that the cost of maintaining the increased benefits on a sound actuarial basis exceeds the aggregate contributions provided for in this section, the board shall submit to the affected members of the plan the option of paying the increased costs or of having the increased benefits reduced to a level sufficient to be maintained by the aggregate contributions. The reduction of benefits in accordance with this section shall not be deemed a violation of the contractual rights of the members, provided that no reduction may result in benefits being lower than the level of the minimum benefits.

(4) The board shall manage the trust in a manner that maintains reasonable contributions and administrative costs. Providing additional benefits to members and beneficiaries is the board's priority.

[2003 c 93 § 1; 2003 c 2 § 6 (Initiative Measure No. 790, approved November 5, 2002)].

RCW 41.45.0604

Contribution rates — Law enforcement officers' and firefighters' retirement system plan 2.

(1) Not later than July 31, 2008, and every even-numbered year thereafter, the law enforcement officers' and firefighters' plan 2 retirement board shall adopt contribution rates for the law enforcement officers' and firefighters' retirement system plan 2 as provided in RCW [41.26.720\(1\)\(a\)](#).

(2) The law enforcement officers' and firefighters' plan 2 retirement board shall immediately notify the directors of the office of financial management and department of retirement systems of the state, employer, and employee rates adopted. Thereafter, the director shall collect those rates adopted by the board. The rates shall be effective for the ensuing biennial period, subject to any legislative modifications.

[2007 c 280 § 3; 2003 c 92 § 4.]

APPENDIX D

AGGREGATE AND ENTRY AGE NORMAL COST FUNDING METHODS DEFINED

Aggregate Funding Method¹

The aggregate funding method is a standard actuarial funding method. The annual cost of benefits under the aggregate method is equal to the normal cost. The method does not produce an unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The normal cost is determined for the entire group rather than on an individual basis.

Entry Age Normal Cost Method (EANC)²

The EANC method is a standard actuarial funding method. The annual cost of benefits under EANC is comprised of two components: normal cost plus amortization of the unfunded liability. The normal cost is determined on an individual basis, from a member's age at plan entry, and is designed to be a level percentage of pay throughout a member's career.

¹ "Glossary of Actuarial and Pension Terms". Office of the State Actuary. 13 Jan 2012. Web. 3 July 2012.

² "Glossary of Actuarial and Pension Terms". Office of the State Actuary. 13 Jan 2012. Web. 3 July 2012.