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Issue Description

Including Law Enforcement Officers in LEOFF Plan 2 under the occupational disease presumption that currently covers Fire Fighters.
Overview

- Presumption
  - Washington State
  - Other States
  - Federal

- Research
  - Washington State Study
  - Other Published Research

- Options
Presumption in Washington State

- Applies to fire fighters
- Does not apply to law enforcement

Coverage
- Respiratory Disease
- Heart Problems
- Cancer
- Infectious Disease
Presumption in Other States

- 38 states (76%) have fire fighter presumption
- 28 states (56%) have law enforcement presumption
Presumption at Federal Level

- Public Safety Officers’ Benefit (PSOB)
- 2003 Hometown Heroes Act
  - Presumption for heart attack and stroke
  - “died as the direct and proximate result of a personal injury sustained in the line of duty.”
WA Presumptive Coverage Study

- 1992 Study incidence of cancer and circulatory disease
  - Limited studies
  - Risk similar to or slightly higher than general population
  - Statistical evidence not conclusive or causal
Published Research

- **Cancer**
  - Few specific studies
  - Risk similar to general population

- **Heart Disease**
  - Few specific studies
  - Stresses and demand for extreme exertion
  - Risk of death similar/slightly above general population

- **Infectious Disease**
  - Elevated statistical rates for a number of diseases
  - Findings statistical, but not causal association
Option 1

- **Presumption for Infectious Diseases**
  - Law enforcement included under same infectious disease presumption as fire fighters
  
- **Coverage**
  - HIV/AIDS
  - Hepatitis (all strains)
  - Meningococcal Meningitis
  - Mycobacterium Tuberculosis
Option 2

- Presumption for Heart Problems
  - Heart problems within 24 hours of physical exertion due to “law enforcement activities”
  - Requires definition of “law enforcement activities”
  - 20 states and Federal Government have law enforcement heart presumption
Option 3

- Presumption for Cancer

- Requires definition of which cancers are included within presumption

- Five other states have law enforcement cancer presumption; no Federal presumption
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1. Issue

Including Law Enforcement Officers in LEOFF Plan 2 under the occupational disease presumption that currently covers Fire Fighters.

2. Staff

Tim Valencia, Senior Research and Policy Manager
(360) 586-2326
tim.valencia@leoff.wa.gov

3. Members Impacted

This issue potentially impacts all of the active Law Enforcement Officers in LEOFF Plan 2. As of September 30, 2006 there were 15,718 active members and 779 retirees and beneficiaries. In 2005, data provided by the Department of Retirement Systems indicated that Law Enforcement Officers accounted for approximately 58% of the active membership in the plan.

4. Current Situation

There is no occupational disease presumption for law enforcement officers in Washington State. Although the occupational disease provisions in the Workers’ Compensation statutes apply to law enforcement officers, the burden of proof to qualify for benefits shifts to the member. An occupational disease presumption exists for fire fighters in Washington State.
5. Background Information and Policy Issues

A presumptive occupational disease law is a law that links a particular occupation with a disease or condition that has been shown to be a hazard associated with that occupation. As a result of this linkage, if an individual employed in the occupation covered by the presumption contracts a disease or condition that is specified in the presumptive law, then that disease or condition is presumed to have come from that occupation. In this case, the burden of proof shifts from the employee to the employer to demonstrate that the condition was not in fact associated with the occupation but with another cause.

In the case of public safety officers, particularly for fire fighters, scientific evidence has demonstrated an increased risk for heart disease, lung disease, cancer, and infectious diseases. Many states have an occupational disease presumption law that applies to at least one category of emergency response personnel. However, these presumption laws vary between states in terms of medical conditions/illnesses covered and emergency response personnel covered.

Presumptive Coverage Provisions in Washington

In 1987, the Legislature passed Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 5801, which created a presumption that certain diseases were occupationally related for industrial insurance purposes for only fire fighters. As originally passed, this bill only included respiratory disease as an occupational disease.

The 2002 Legislature amended the definition of occupational disease to include heart problems that are experienced within seventy-two hours of exposure to smoke, fumes, or toxic substances; certain cancers; and infectious diseases.

The presumption of cancer as an occupational disease only applies to a fire fighter, where the cancer develops or manifests itself after the fire fighter has served at least 10 years, and was given a qualifying medical examination upon becoming a fire fighter that showed no evidence of cancer. Time served as a volunteer fire fighter does not count towards the 10 years of service required for presumptive cancer coverage. Under the 2002 legislation, the presumption of cancer only applied to the following specific types of cancer:

1 The 2002 bill originally listed a broader set of cancers within the presumption than was passed in the final version of the bill. The original bill included the following types of cancer: Breast Cancer, Reproductive System Cancer, Central Nervous System Cancer, Skin Cancer, Lymphatic System Cancer, Digestive System Cancer, Hematological System Cancer, Urinary System Cancer, Skeletal System Cancer, Oral System Cancer.
• Primary Brain Cancer
• Malignant Melanoma
• Leukemia
• Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma
• Bladder Cancer
• Ureter Cancer
• Kidney Cancer

The presumption of *infectious disease* as an occupational disease only applies to fire fighters who contracted the following:

• Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
• All Strains of Hepatitis
• Meningococcal Meningitis
• Mycobacterium Tuberculosis

The 2007 Legislature further expanded the occupational disease presumption for fire fighters. A presumption of occupational disease was added for heart problems that are experienced within 24 hours of strenuous physical exertion due to firefighting activities. "Firefighting activities" means fire suppression, fire prevention, emergency medical services, rescue operations, hazardous materials response, aircraft rescue, and training and other assigned duties related to emergency response.

Certain cancers were also added to the list of cancers presumed to be occupational diseases. The cancers added included:

• Prostate Cancer, diagnosed prior to the age of 50
• Colorectal cancer
• Multiple Myeloma
• Testicular cancer

The presumption of occupational disease may be rebutted by a preponderance of evidence, including, but not limited to use of tobacco products, physical fitness and weight, lifestyle, hereditary factors, and exposure from other employment or non-employment activities. Since July 1, 2003, the presumption of occupational disease has not applied to a fire fighter who develops a heart or lung condition and who is a regular user of tobacco products or who has a history of tobacco use.

After terminating from service the presumptions are extended such that a member can qualify for benefits for a period of three calendar months for each year of service, out to a maximum of sixty months following the last date of employment. For example, a member who separates from service after a 10-year career will be covered under the presumption for 2½ years (30 months) after the date of separation from employment.
The 2007 Legislation also included provisions for the recovery of litigation costs and fees. When a determination involving the presumption of occupational disease for fire fighters is appealed to the Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals or to any court and the final decision allows the claim for benefits, the Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals or the court must order that all reasonable costs of the appeal be paid to the fire fighter or his or her beneficiary.

**Presumptive Coverage Provisions in Other Jurisdictions**

The presumptions vary from state to state in terms of what occupational diseases are covered for each profession. An initial review of the workers’ compensation, pension, and employment statutes of all 50 states shows that most of the states have an explicit occupational disease presumption in statute. At least 38 states (76%) have an explicit occupational disease presumption for fire fighters and 28 states (56%) have an explicit occupational disease presumption for law enforcement. Several states also have included groups such as corrections officers, state police, and volunteer fire fighters.

In the 28 states with a law enforcement presumption, the most commonly occurring presumptions are for heart attack or cardiovascular disease which is covered by 20 states and respiratory or lung disease which is covered by 11 states. A handful of states also have a presumption for hypertension (6), cancer (5), and stroke (3).

Sixteen of the states with a law enforcement presumption include one or more occupational illness caused by infectious disease. In most cases, occupational disease is specifically defined by illness type; however some states use a general definition of occupational disease which broadly includes the specific diseases covered in other states. The most common occupational diseases covered by a presumption for law enforcement include: hepatitis (9), tuberculosis (7), HIV/AIDS (6), meningococcal meningitis (3), and other or generally defined (6).

*Table 1: Presumption Coverage for Law Enforcement Officers* details the occupation disease coverage by type of occupational disease for each of the 28 states that have an explicit law enforcement presumption in statute.
## Table 1: Presumption Coverage for Law Enforcement Officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Respiratory/Lung</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Hepatitis</th>
<th>Tuberculosis</th>
<th>Other or Generally Defined</th>
<th>HIV/AIDS</th>
<th>Meningococcal</th>
<th>Menigitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Presumptive Coverage Provisions at Federal Level – PSOB

The Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Act was enacted in 1976 to assist in the recruitment and retention of law enforcement officers and fire fighters. State and local law enforcement officers and fire fighters are covered for line-of-duty deaths occurring on or
after September 29, 1976.\textsuperscript{2} As defined by Congress in Public Law 90-351 (Sec. 1217), a public safety officer includes individuals serving a public agency in an official capacity, with or without compensation, as a law enforcement officer or fire fighter.

The PSOB Program provides death benefits in the form of a one-time financial payment to the eligible survivors of public safety officers whose deaths are the direct and proximate result of a traumatic injury sustained in the line of duty. Beneficiaries of the PSOB Death Benefits Program must comply with the PSOB Office’s administrative review process by producing sufficient evidence to show that the public safety officer died as the direct and proximate result of a personal injury sustained in the line of duty. The PSOB Act only covers deaths resulting from traumatic injuries sustained in the line of duty. The PSOB Act does not have extensive coverage for occupational diseases, however, heart attack deaths are covered in some instances.

On December 15th, 2003, President Bush signed into law the Hometown Heroes Survivor Benefits Act (S. 459 / H.R. 919), which expanded the PSOB program to cover public safety officers who die of heart attacks or strokes in the line of duty. The death benefit is payable to the survivors of a public safety officer who "has died as the direct and proximate result of a personal injury sustained in the line of duty." See Appendix A: PSOB Statute – Presumption for Heart Attack and Stroke.

Prior to the Hometown Heroes Survivor Benefits Act, in almost every incidence of death by heart attack or stroke it had been ruled that the heart attack or stroke was not a direct result of an injury sustained in the line of duty and the families received no benefits even though the deaths were clearly triggered by the rigors of the job. The Hometown Heroes Survivor Benefit Act was intended to correct that deficiency in the law, by ensuring that a public safety officer who suffers a fatal heart attack or stroke while on duty or not later than 24 hours after participating in a physical training exercise or responding to an emergency situation, is presumed to have died in the line of duty for purposes of public safety officer survivor benefits.

**Law Enforcement Presumption Research**

**Senate Resolution and Report.** During the 1991 legislative session, Senate Floor Resolution 8674 requested the Department of Labor and Industries to conduct a study of the unique occupational disease hazards encountered by law enforcement officers and firefighters. The Department of Labor and Industries was specifically asked to address the incidence of cancer and heart disease and the problems of proof associated with occupational disease. The study was completed December 1, 1992. The study was conducted with the assistance of the Department of Health and the University of Washington. An advisory committee was also established with representatives from stakeholder organizations.

\textsuperscript{2}Federal, state, and local public rescue squads and ambulance crews are covered for line-of-duty deaths occurring on or after October 15, 1986.
With respect to law enforcement and cancer, the study reported that based on the available epidemiologic evidence that the overall risk of cancer among law enforcement personnel was similar to that of the general population. The study also reported that there had been very few studies specifically designed to examine the risk of cancer among law enforcement officers.

The study reported with respect to law enforcement and circulatory disease that while the stresses associated with law enforcement are thought to increase the risk of ischemic heart disease, most epidemiologic studies found law enforcement to have a risk of death due to circulatory disease similar to, or only slightly above, that of the general population. Despite the available evidence suggesting increased risk of heart disease, there was not enough evidence from which to draw firm conclusions. The study reported that very few studies of this specific nature about law enforcement had been performed and current studies were limited to available death records which lack many specific details.

**Recent Research.** An updated review of medical literature databases resulted in finding no significant change in the literature about occupational illness and injury for law enforcement. The existing research on cancer and heart disease in law enforcement that has been published contain findings and conclusions similar to those presented in the 1992 Washington presumption report.

Infectious diseases and law enforcement, which were not included in the 1992 study, have continued to be more readily covered in recent research. However, findings still only suggest that law enforcement officers have significantly elevated statistical rates for a number of diseases; despite these statistical findings, they do not necessarily prove causal association.

The research department of the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP) was also contacted regarding research on this topic. FOP research staff concurred that their findings on the available research were similar to those of the LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board.

Appendix B contains a sample of citations and abstracts from literature about law enforcement occupational illness.

### 6. Policy Options

**Option 1: Include Law Enforcement Officers in the presumption for infectious diseases.** This option would include Law Enforcement Officers in LEOFF Plan 2 under the same presumption for infectious disease that currently covers Fire Fighters including Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome, all Strains of Hepatitis, Meningococcal Meningitis, and Mycobacterium Tuberculosis. This option would provide coverage for the exposure of law enforcement officers, because of their employment, to uncontrolled environments containing various hazardous such as infectious diseases. This option would put Washington on level with a number of states that have presumptions to establish that various infectious diseases are work-related for law enforcement officers under
disability or workers' compensation laws. This option is not mutually exclusive from the other options; rather it could be selected in addition to Option 2 and/or Option 3

Option 2: Create a presumption for heart problems for law enforcement officers. This option would create a presumption for heart problems similar to the presumption established for Fire Fighters during the 2007 Legislative Session. A presumption would exist if a law enforcement officer covered by LEOFF Plan 2 experienced heart problems within 24 hours of strenuous physical exertion due to law enforcement activities. This option would require “law enforcement activities” to be specifically defined. This could include criteria such as participating in a physical training exercise, responding to an emergency situation, or other assigned duties related to or requiring a law enforcement response. This option would put Washington on level with the Federal Government and 20 other states that have presumptions to establish that heart problems are work-related for law enforcement officers under disability or workers' compensation laws. This option is not mutually exclusive from the other options; rather it could be selected in addition to Option 1 and/or Option 3

Option 3: Create a presumption for cancer. This option would create a presumption for cancer. This option would require the identification of the specific types of cancers to be covered. This option would put Washington on level with five other states that have a cancer presumption for law enforcement officers. This option is not mutually exclusive from the other options; rather it could be selected in addition to Option 1 and/or Option 2.

7. Supporting Information
   • Appendix A: PSOB Statute – Presumption for Heart Attack and Stroke
   • Appendix B: Sample of Literature Regarding Law Enforcement Occupational Illness
Appendix A: PSOB Statute – Presumption for Heart Attack and Stroke

42 U.S.C. § 3796, Sec. 1201(k) Payment of death benefits

(k) For purposes of this section, if a public safety officer dies as the direct and proximate result of a heart attack or stroke, that officer shall be presumed to have died as the direct and proximate result of a personal injury sustained in the line of duty, if—

(1) that officer, while on duty—
   (A) engaged in a situation, and such engagement involved nonroutine stressful or strenuous physical law enforcement, fire suppression, rescue, hazardous material response, emergency medical services, prison security, disaster relief, or other emergency response activity; or
   (B) participated in a training exercise, and such participation involved nonroutine stressful or strenuous physical activity;

(2) that officer died as a result of a heart attack or stroke suffered—
   (A) while engaging or participating as described under paragraph (1);
   (B) while still on that duty after so engaging or participating; or
   (C) not later than 24 hours after so engaging or participating; and

(3) such presumption is not overcome by competent medical evidence to the contrary.

Direct and proximate result of a heart attack or stroke –
A death results directly and proximately from a heart attack or stroke if the heart attack or stroke is a substantial factor in bringing it about.

Nonroutine stressful physical activity –
Except as excluded by the Act, at 42 U.S.C. 3796(l), nonroutine stressful physical activity means line of duty activity that—

(1) Is not performed as a matter of routine;
(2) Entails non-negligible physical exertion; and
(3) Occurs—
   (i) With respect to a situation in which a public safety officer is engaged, under circumstances that objectively and reasonably—
      (A) Pose (or appear to pose) significant dangers, threats, or hazards (or reasonably-foreseeable risks thereof), not faced by similarly-situated members of the public in the ordinary course; and
      (B) Provoke, cause, or occasion an unusually-high level of alarm, fear, or anxiety; or
   (ii) With respect to a training exercise in which a public safety officer participates, under circumstances that objectively and reasonably—
      (A) Simulate in realistic fashion situations that pose significant dangers, threats, or hazards; and
      (B) Provoke, cause, or occasion an unusually-high level of alarm, fear, or anxiety.
Competent medical evidence to the contrary –
The presumption raised by the Act, at 42 U.S.C. 3796(k), is overcome by competent medical
evidence to the contrary, when evidence indicates to a degree of medical probability that
circumstances other than any engagement or participation described in the Act, at
42 U.S.C. 3796(k)(1), considered in combination (as one circumstance) or alone, were a
substantial factor in bringing the heart attack or stroke about.
Appendix B: Sample of Literature Regarding Law Enforcement Occupational Illness

1. Potential work-related exposures to blood borne pathogens by industry and occupation in the United States Part II: A telephone interview study.
   

   BACKGROUND: The companion surveillance portion of this study [Chen and Jenkins, 2007] reported the frequency and rate of potential work-related exposures to bloodborne pathogens (BBP) treated in emergency departments (EDs) by industry and occupation, but it lacks details on the circumstances of the exposure and other relevant issues such as BBP safety training, use of personal protective equipment (PPE) or safety needles, or reasons for seeking treatment in a hospital ED.

   METHODS: Telephone interviews were conducted with workers who had been treated in EDs for potential work-related exposures to BBP in 2000-2002. Respondents were drawn from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System.

   RESULTS: Of the 593 interviews, 382 were from hospitals, 51 were from emergency medical service/firefighting (EMS/FF), 86 were from non-hospital healthcare settings (e.g., nursing homes, doctors' offices, home healthcare providers, etc.), 22 were from law enforcement (including police and correctional facilities), and 52 were from other non-healthcare settings (i.e., schools, hotels, and restaurants). Needlestick/sharps injuries were the primary source of exposure in hospitals and non-hospital healthcare settings. Skin and mucous membrane was the primary route of exposure in EMS/FF. Human bites accounted for a significant portion of the exposures in law enforcement and other non-healthcare settings. In general, workers from non-hospital settings were less likely to use PPE, to have BBP safety training, to be aware of the BBP standards and exposure treatment procedures, and to report or seek treatment for a work-related exposure compared to hospital workers.

   CONCLUSIONS: This study suggests that each industry group has unique needs that should be addressed.

2. Cardiovascular disease risk factors and the perception of general health among male law enforcement officers: encouraging behavioral change.


   The relationship among cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity, risk factors (including stress), and the perception of health among male law enforcement officers (LEOs) compared to men in the general population were examined in this study. Self reported prevalence of CVD and CVD risk factors among currently employed male LEOs from nine states (n = 2,818) were compared to those of other men in the same states (n = 9,650 for CVD risk factors, n = 3,147 for CVD prevalence). Perceived stress in LEOs was assessed to determine if it affected the relationship between CVD prevalence and CVD risk factors. Cross tabulated simple percentages showed CVD was less prevalent in the LEO group than among the
general population. The best predictor variables for CVD were perceived stress, time in the profession, and hypertension. The LEO group had greater prevalence of hypercholesterolemia, overweight, and tobacco use than the general population. However, a greater percentage of LEOs perceived their health as "good to excellent" compared to men in the general population. Using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) it was determined that perceived stress was associated with CVD in the LEO group and three CVD risk factors (i.e., cholesterol, hypertension, physical activity) were significantly affected by perceived stress. Among susceptible officers, stress may contribute to CVD development as well as potentiate several CVD risk factors. However, an apparent lack of association exists between perception of general health and CVD risk in LEOs.

3. The risk of acquiring hepatitis B or C among public safety workers: a systematic review.

CONTEXT: Determination of the occupational risk of hepatitis B and C to public safety workers is important in identifying prevention opportunities and has significant legal and policy implications.

OBJECTIVES: Characterize the risk of occupationally acquired infection: (1) risk of exposure to blood and body fluids, (2) seroprevalence of hepatitis B and C in the source population, and (3) risk of infection after exposure.


STUDY SELECTION: Peer-reviewed journal articles (N=702) that addressed the transmission of hepatitis B and C in law enforcement, correctional, fire, emergency medical services, and healthcare personnel were identified. One hundred five (15.0%) articles were selected for full-text retrieval; 72 (68.6%) were selected for inclusion.

DATA ABSTRACTION: Articles selected for inclusion were abstracted by two reviewers and checked by a third reviewer, using a standard reporting form. Evidence tables were constructed, using the standardized abstracts. The tables were designed to summarize data for the key elements of the risk analysis.

CONCLUSIONS: Data suggest that emergency medical service (EMS) providers are at increased risk of contracting hepatitis B, but data have failed to show an increased prevalence of hepatitis C. EMS providers have exposure risks similar to those of hospital-based healthcare workers. Other public safety workers appear to have lower rates of exposure. Urban areas have much higher prevalence of disease, and public safety workers in those areas are likely to experience a higher incidence of exposure events.
4. **Occupational needlestick injuries in a metropolitan police force.**


OBJECTIVES: Police officers are at risk of bloodborne diseases through needlestick injuries but few studies have addressed this problem. The purpose of this study was to assess the risk of needlestick injuries in law enforcement officers and to determine predictors of injuries and reporting rates.

DESIGN: An anonymous, voluntary questionnaire was distributed to 1738 active-duty, metropolitan police officers. The survey included the number of needlestick injuries ever experienced, how often these were reported, activities at the time of injury and attitudes toward injuries.

RESULTS: Of the 803 respondents (46.2% of survey population), 29.7% had at least one needlestick injury, and 27.7% of this group had two or more. Risk factors included evening shifts, pat-down searches, patrol duties, male gender and less experience. Only 39.2% sought medical attention for these injuries.

CONCLUSIONS: Needlestick injuries occur with considerable frequency in this group of law enforcement personnel, suggesting an increased risk of becoming infected with bloodborne pathogens, including hepatitis B, hepatitis C and HIV.

5. **Coronary heart disease risk factors in employees of Iowa's Department of Public Safety compared to a cohort of the general population.**


The prevalence of coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors in law enforcement personnel compared to that in the general population was studied by determining the predicted 10-year risk for developing CHD (CHD10, expressed as %) in subjects from the Iowa Department of Public Safety and comparing it to the average CHD10 for similarly aged subjects in the Framingham Heart Study cohort. The Iowa data included measures on 388 men from 30 to 64 years old, 246 of whom were measured in 1980-1981 and again in 1992-1993. The CHD10 came from an algorithm developed using the Framingham data; it included measures of age, gender, cholesterol, HDL-C, systolic blood pressure, smoking habit, glucose level, and left ventricular hypertrophy (ECG criteria). For this group, average CHD10 was reported by age in five-year increments [Circulation 83:356, 1991]. The Iowa subjects (n = 388) did not show a statistically significant difference in CHD10 from the reference population (8.9% versus 7.9%). The change with age was very similar in the two groups: for Iowa (n = 388) the estimate was CHD10 = -16.5 + .59 (age); for Framingham it was CHD10 = -17.5 + .60 (age). The change in individual risk factors with time was also similar in both groups; the per year change in CHD10 in the Iowa subjects, which was measured twice (n = 246, 0.63%), did not differ statistically from the 0.60% change predicted by the Framingham model. These results suggest that, for the risk factors considered here, the 10-year probability of developing CHD
among Iowa law enforcement personnel is similar to that found in the Framingham population.

6. Epidemiological studies of work-related injuries among law enforcement personnel.  


OBJECTIVE: A probabilistic model was used to analyze the cumulative risk of occupational hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection among U.S. public safety workers.

METHODS: A model for the career risk of HCV was developed using the frequency of parenteral exposures to blood, the population seroprevalence of HCV, and the risk of seroconversion after exposure. Estimates of key input variables were obtained from published studies.

RESULTS: Calculated estimates of the 30-year risk of infection ranged from <0.1% for police, firefighters, and corrections officers to 1.9% among paramedics and emergency department personnel in high-risk communities. Infrequent exposure to high-risk blood seems to present a greater risk of infection than more frequent contact to low-risk populations.

CONCLUSIONS: Use of a probabilistic risk assessment model using published data can assist in policy decisions designed to protect the health and safety of workers. Further efforts to document the frequency of occupationally acquired HCV are needed.


OBJECTIVE: To determine the cost-effectiveness of substituting hepatitis A-B vaccine for hepatitis B vaccine when healthcare and public safety workers in the western United States are immunized to protect against occupational exposures to hepatitis B.

PARTICIPANTS: A cohort of 100,000 hypothetical healthcare and public safety workers from 11 western states with hepatitis A rates twice the national average.

DESIGN: A Markov model of hepatitis A was developed using estimates from U.S. government databases, published literature, and an expert panel. Added costs of hepatitis A-B vaccine were compared with savings from reduced hepatitis A treatment and work loss. Cost-effectiveness was expressed as the ratio of net costs to quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) gained.
RESULTS: Substituting hepatitis A-B vaccine would prevent 29,796 work-loss-days, 222 hospitalizations, 6 premature deaths, and the loss of 214 QALYs. Added vaccination costs of $5.4 million would be more than offset by $1.9 million and $6.1 million reductions in hepatitis A treatment and work loss costs, respectively. Cost-effectiveness improves as the time horizon is extended, from $232,600 per QALY after 1 year to less than $0 per QALY within 11 years. Estimates are most sensitive to community-wide hepatitis A rates and the degree to which childhood vaccination may reduce future rates.

CONCLUSION: For healthcare and public safety workers in western states, substituting hepatitis A-B vaccine for hepatitis B vaccine would reduce morbidity, mortality, and costs.


We conducted a questionnaire and seroprevalence survey to determine the frequency and type of occupational exposures (OEs) and the risk of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection experienced by public safety workers (PSWs). Of the 2910 PSWs who completed the survey, 6.8% reported at least one OE in the previous 6 months, including needlestick (1.0%), being cut with a contaminated object (2.8%), mucous membrane exposure to blood (0.9%), and being bitten by a human (3.5%). The rate of OE varied by occupation with 2.7% of firefighters, 3.2% of sheriff officers, 6.6% of corrections officers, and 7.4% of police officers reporting > or = 1 OE (P < 0.001). The HBV infection prevalence was 8.6%, and after adjustment for age and race, it was comparable to the overall US prevalence and did not vary by occupation. By multivariate analysis, HBV infection was not associated with any OEs, but it was associated with older age, being nonwhite, and a previous history of a sexually transmitted disease. This study demonstrated that although OEs are not uncommon among PSWs, HBV infection was more likely to be associated with nonoccupational risk factors. Administration of hepatitis B vaccine to PSWs early in their careers will prevent HBV infection associated with occupational and non-OEs.

10. Hepatitis C in urban and rural public safety workers.

A sample of 719 Oregon public safety personnel (police officers, firefighters, and corrections officers) was tested for hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody after completing a risk questionnaire. Seven of nine positive enzyme immunoassay tests (78%) were confirmed with recombinant immunoblot assay, yielding confirmed prevalence estimates of 1.2% (95% confidence interval, 0.4 to 2.8%) among the 406 firefighters and emergency medical technicians, and 0.7% (95% confidence interval, 0.1 to 2.6%) in 274 corrections personnel. No cases were observed in the 29 participating police officers. Self-reports of the number of workplace exposures to blood were not associated with HCV positivity, and the number of years of public safety employment seemed to be slightly less for HCV-positive subjects. Two
of the seven (28.6%) HCV-positive individuals reported having at least one nonoccupational risk factor (odds ratio, 4.3; 95% confidence interval, 0.4 to 27.1), suggesting the greater relative importance of nonoccupational exposures.

11. Hepatitis C screening and prevalence among urban public safety workers.  

This study examines the prevalence of anti-hepatitis C virus by using an enzyme-linked immunoassay test (EIA-2) in 2447 volunteers (including 1560 police, 678 fire, and 209 emergency medical service personnel) and a self-reported questionnaire on potential occupational and non-occupational risk factors. Subjects consisted of 76% men, 54.8% blacks, and 40.3% whites. Twenty-eight individuals (1.1%) tested positive, with prevalence rates of 1.1% and 1.3%, respectively, among blacks and whites. Although firefighters and emergency medical service workers had a higher prevalence (2.3% and 2.8%) than police (0.6%), the overall prevalence was lower than that typical of urban populations. In a multivariate analysis, the most important risk factors were behavioral, with no significant occupational exposure risk observed. Previously reported racial differences were not detected in this study, most likely because the subjects were of similar socioeconomic status.

12. Potential work-related exposures to bloodborne pathogens by industry and occupation in the United States Part II: A telephone interview study.  

BACKGROUND: The companion surveillance portion of this study [Chen and Jenkins, 2007] reported the frequency and rate of potential work-related exposures to bloodborne pathogens (BBP) treated in emergency departments (EDs) by industry and occupation, but it lacks details on the circumstances of the exposure and other relevant issues such as BBP safety training, use of personal protective equipment (PPE) or safety needles, or reasons for seeking treatment in a hospital ED.

METHODS: Telephone interviews were conducted with workers who had been treated in EDs for potential work-related exposures to BBP in 2000-2002. Respondents were drawn from the National Electronic Injury Surveillance System.

RESULTS: Of the 593 interviews, 382 were from hospitals, 51 were from emergency medical service/firefighting (EMS/FF), 86 were from non-hospital healthcare settings (e.g., nursing homes, doctors' offices, home healthcare providers, etc.), 22 were from law enforcement (including police and correctional facilities), and 52 were from other non-healthcare settings (i.e., schools, hotels, and restaurants). Needlestick/sharps injuries were the primary source of exposure in hospitals and non-hospital healthcare settings. Skin and mucous membrane was the primary route of exposure in EMS/FF. Human bites accounted for a significant portion of the exposures in law enforcement and other non-healthcare settings. In general, workers from non-hospital settings were less likely to use PPE, to have
BBP safety training, to be aware of the BBP standards and exposure treatment procedures, and to report or seek treatment for a work-related exposure compared to hospital workers.

CONCLUSIONS: This study suggests that each industry group has unique needs that should be addressed.


Franke, Warren D. PhD; Ramey, Sandra L. PHD; Shelley, MackC. II, PhD. Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine. 44(12); 1182-1189, December 2002.

It is unclear to what extent law enforcement officers (LEOs) experience increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD; defined as coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, or stroke) and, if so, whether perceived stress affects this relationship. First, self-reported CVD risk factors among currently employed male LEOs from 9 states (n = 2818) were compared to CVD risk factors among similarly-aged males with similar incomes in the same states (n = 8046). Second, CVD prevalence was compared among LEOs (n = 1791) and similarly-aged males with similar incomes (n = 2575) from four of these states. Finally, among the LEOs only, the possible effect of perceived stress on the relationship between CVD prevalence and CVD risk factors was assessed. LEOs reported higher prevalence of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, tobacco use, and elevated body mass index. CVD prevalence did not differ significantly between the LEO group and the general population (2.3% +/- 15% versus 3.1% +/- 17%; P = 0.095). In the LEO-only group, the best predictors of CVD were: time in the profession (OR = 1.07; 95% CI = 1.03-1.11), perceived stress (OR = 1.05; 95% CI = 1.00-1.10), and hypertension (OR = 0.33; 95% CI = 0.18-0.62). In the LEO-only group, perceived stress was associated with CVD (P = 0.008), and three CVD risk factors were significantly affected by perceived stress: cholesterol, hypertension, and physical activity. Perceived stress was affected by duration of time in the profession (P = 0.004), independent of an age effect (P = 0.353). Among susceptible officers, perceived stress may contribute to CVD directly and through potentiating several CVD risk factors.

14. Cardiovascular Disease Morbidity in an Iowa Law Enforcement Cohort, Compared with the General Iowa Population.


It remains uncertain if law enforcement officers experience an elevated cardiovascular disease morbidity and, if so, whether their profession contributes to this incidence. Consequently, the self-reported incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) (coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, angioplasty) and CVD risk factors (age, diabetes, elevated body mass index (>= 27.8 kg [middle dot] m-2), hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, tobacco use) in 232 male retirees, >= 55 years of age, from the Iowa Department of Public Safety were compared with 817 male Iowans of similar age. CVD incidence was higher in the law enforcement officers than the general population (31.5% vs 18.4%, P < 0.001). Using multiple logistic regression, factors found to be
associated with CVD included the law enforcement profession (odds ratio [OR] = 2.34; 95% confidence interval [95% CI] = 1.5-3.6), hypercholesterolemia (OR = 2.37; 95% CI = 1.7-3.3); diabetes (OR = 2.22; 95% CI = 1.4-3.6), hypertension (OR = 1.79; 95% CI = 1.3-2.5), tobacco use (OR = 1.67; 95% CI = 1.07-2.6), and age (OR = 1.06; 95% CI = 1.03-1.08). These results suggest that employment as a law enforcement officer is associated with an increased cardiovascular disease morbidity and this relationship persists after considering several conventional risk factors.

15. Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality and Occupation Among 16- to 60-Year-Old Males.

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death, and the role of occupation continues to generate interest. Using the National Occupational Mortality Surveillance system, proportionate mortality ratio (PMR) analyses were used to examine the association between occupation and ischemic heart disease among 16- to 60-year-old males. We used data from 1982-1992 from 27 states. Separate analyses were conducted for blue-collar and white-collar occupations. Among the blue-collar occupations with the highest PMRs for ischemic heart disease mortality were sheriffs, correctional institution officers, policemen, firefighters, and machine operators. Physicians (blacks only) and clergy (both races) were among the white-collar occupations with the highest PMRs for ischemic heart disease. Although more study is needed, consideration should be made for targeting high-PMR occupations, with improvement in work organization to reduce occupational stress and promotion of healthy lifestyles through cardiovascular disease prevention programs.


We conducted a questionnaire and seroprevalence survey to determine the frequency and type of occupational exposures (OEs) and the risk of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection experienced by public safety workers (PSWs). Of the 2910 PSWs who completed the survey, 6.8% reported at least one OE in the previous 6 months, including needlestick (1.0%), being cut with a contaminated object (2.8%), mucous membrane exposure to blood (0.9%), and being bitten by a human (3.5%). The rate of OE varied by occupation with 2.7% of firefighters, 3.2% of sheriff officers, 6.6% of corrections officers, and 7.4% of police officers reporting >=1 OE (P < 0.001). The HBV infection prevalence was 8.6%, and after adjustment for age and race, it was comparable to the overall US prevalence and did not vary by occupation. This study demonstrated that although OEs are not uncommon among PSWs, HBV infection was more likely to be associated with nonoccupational risk factors. Administration of hepatitis B vaccine to PSWs early in their careers will prevent HBV infection associated with occupational and non-OEs.
17. Cancer incidence among Ontario police officers

Murray M. Finkelstein, PhD, MDCM; American Journal of Industrial Medicine Volume 34, Issue 2, Pages 157 – 162; Published Online: 6 Dec 1998

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) published a report in 1995 suggesting the possibility of increased incidence of testicular cancer, leukemia, and cancers of the brain, eye, and skin among police officers working with traffic radar. NIOSH recommended epidemiologic study of the issue. This report presents the results of a retrospective cohort cancer incidence study among 22,197 officers employed by 83 Ontario police departments. The standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for all tumor sites was 0.90 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.83-0.98). There was an increased incidence of testicular cancer (SIR = 1.3, 90%CI = 0.9-1.8) and melanoma skin cancer (SIR = 1.45, 90%CI = 1.1-1.9). These anatomical sites might absorb energy from radar units, but at this time the author has no information about individual exposures to radar emissions, and it is not possible to draw etiologic conclusions.

18. Dying from the Job: The Mortality Risk for Police Officers

John M. Violanti, Phd., Law Enforcement Wellness Association

There are an estimated 623,000 sworn police officers employed in the United States, yet few studies of long term health risks have been conducted. It has been argued that police officers are at increased risk for mortality as a result of their occupation. The average age of death for police officer in our 40-year study was 66 years of age.

Although it is not possible to change the dangers inherent in police work, it is possible to change aspects which affect the long term health of officers. The present findings suggest that police officers are at significantly elevated risks for a number of diseases and appropriate interventions should be instituted. Elevated mortality risk of colon cancer and other digestive cancers, for example, indicates a need for earlier detection with stool tests or frequent medical examinations. Such medical examinations are lacking as part of work benefits in most police agencies. Elevated risk for cirrhosis, arteriosclerotic heart disease, and all malignant neoplasms combined are also diseases of concern. Prevention should emphasize management programs which include health education, physical exercise, smoking abatement, and dangers of alcohol use. The elevated risk of suicide among police officers in present study indicates the effect of a high stress work environment and perhaps the officer’s inability to adequately cope with stress. In addition to stress management and suicide awareness education, police officers should have confidential psychological services available to help them deal with such difficulties. Only one of five police agencies presently have such programs. Shift work is another possible factor related to long term health problems. Departments should consider arranging work shifts to optimally benefit officers in terms of proper sleep. Shifts, for example, should not be changed for at least 4-6 weeks at a time, as rapid shift changes exacerbate strain on the body.
Lastly, there is need for police departments to consider alternatives to police organizational structure which can produce much of the stress experienced by police officers. Officers report that approximately 90% of stress in their work is a result of a highly structured, unresponsive, uncaring administration. Changes should include allowing officers the opportunity to participate in decisions affecting their work, and a greater organizational awareness of problems at the street level.

No simple answers exist for prevention of disease in police work. The present study may help to understand correlates of the long term health effects of this occupation and provide a basis for future work.

Gershon, Robyn R. MHS, DrPH; Lin, Susan MPH; Li, Xianbin MHS, PhD

Data are sparse regarding the impact of psychosocial work stress on the health and well-being of aging workers, even for employees working in high-stress occupations, such as law enforcement. To improve our understanding of this issue in older workers, we assessed and characterized work stress, coping strategies, and stress-related health outcomes in a sample of police officers aged 50 years and older (n = 105). The most important risk factors associated with officers' perceived work stress were maladaptive coping behaviors (eg, excessive drinking or problem gambling) (odds ratio [OR], 4.95; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.11 to 11.6) and exposure to critical incidents (eg, shootings) (OR, 3.84; 95% CI, 1.71 to 8.65). In turn, perceived work stress was significantly associated with anxiety (OR, 6.84; 95% CI, 2.81 to 16.65), depression (OR, 9.27; 95% CI, 3.81 to 22.54), somatization (OR, 5.74; 95% CI, 2.47 to 13.33), posttraumatic stress symptoms (OR, 2.89; 95% CI, 1.29 to 6.47), symptoms of "burnout" (OR, 5.93; 95% CI, 2.54 to 13.86), chronic back pain (OR, = 3.55; 95% CI, 1.57 to 8.06), alcohol abuse (OR, 3.24; 95% CI, 1.45 to 7.22), and inappropriately aggressive behavior (OR, 4.00; 95% CI, 1.34 to 11.88). These data suggest that older workers in high-stress jobs may be at increased risk for work stress-related health problems, especially if they rely on risky health behaviors to cope with stress. Given the size of the rapidly aging US workforce and the likelihood that many are employed in high-stress jobs, interventions are urgently needed to address this emerging public health issue.

20. A Prospective Study of Occupation and Prostate Cancer Risk.
Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine. 46(3):271-279, March 2004. Zeegers, Maurice P. A. PhD; Friesema, Ingrid H. M. MSc; Goldbohm, R. Alexandra PhD; van den Brandt, Piet A. PhD

A wide variety of occupations has been associated with prostate cancer in previous retrospective studies. Most attention has been paid to farming, metal working, and the rubber industry. Today, these results cannot be affirmed with confidence, because many associations could be influenced by recall bias, have been inconsistent, or have not been confirmed satisfactory in subsequent studies. This study was conducted to investigate and confirm these important associations in a large prospective cohort study. The authors conducted a
prospective cohort study among 58,279 men. In September 1986, the cohort members (55-69 years) completed a self-administered questionnaire on potential cancer risk factors, including job history. Related job codes were clustered in professional groups. These predefined clusters were investigated in 3 time windows: 1) profession ever performed, 2) longest profession ever held, and 3) last profession held at baseline. Follow up for incident prostate cancer was established by linkage to cancer registries until December 1993. A case-cohort approach was used based on 830 cases and 1525 subcohort members. To minimize false-positive results, 99% confidence intervals (99% CI) were calculated. Although moderately decreased prostate cancer risks were found for electricians, farmers, firefighters, woodworkers, textile workers, butchers, salesmen, teachers, and clerical workers, none of the relative risks (RR) were found to be statistically significant. For road transporters, metal workers, and managers, no association with prostate cancer risk was found. Although the RR for railway workers, mechanics, welders, chemists, painters, and cooks was moderately increased, these estimates were not statistically significant. For men who reported to have ever worked in the rubber industry, we found a substantially increased prostate cancer risk, but not statistically significant (RR, 4.18; 99% CI = 0.22-80.45). **For policemen, we found a substantial and marginally statistically significant increased prostate cancer risk, especially for those who reported working as a policeman for most of their occupational life (RR, 3.91; 99% CI = 1.14-13.42) or as the last profession held at baseline (RR, 4.00; 99% CI = 1.19-13.37).** Most of the previously investigated associations between occupation and prostate cancer risk could not be confirmed with confidence in this prospective study. The lack of statistical significance for rubber workers could be caused by the scarcity of rubber workers in this cohort and subsequent lack of power. The results for policemen were substantial and statistically significant, although a conservative value for significance level was used.

Stroke. 29(9):1860-1866, September 1998.  Pyorala, Marja MD; Miettinen, Heikki MD; Laakso, Markku MD; Pyorala, Kalevi MD

Background and Purpose: Several studies have shown that hyperinsulinemia is associated with the risk of coronary heart disease, but information on the association of hyperinsulinemia with the risk of stroke is limited. We investigated the association of hyperinsulinemia with the risk of stroke during a 22-year follow-up of the Helsinki Policemen Study population. Conclusions: Hyperinsulinemia was associated with the risk of stroke in Helsinki policemen during the 22-year follow-up, but not independently of other risk factors, particularly upper body obesity. (Stroke. 1998;29:1860-1866.)