

Alternate Revenue Source Initial Consideration Follow-Up

Washington State Law Enforcement
Officers' and Fire Fighters' Plan 2
Retirement Board

August 23, 2006

Brief Summary

- Firemen's Pension Fund
- Fire Insurance Premium Tax
- Property Tax Levy ("Millage")

Firemen's Pension Fund

- Firemen's pension fund created in each municipality with regularly organized full time, paid, fire department employing firemen
- Revenue Sources
 - Fire insurance premium tax
 - Property tax levy
 - Investment earnings
 - Contributions
 - Bequests, fees, gifts, emoluments, donations

Fire Insurance Premium Tax

- What is it?
- How much is collected?
- How is it allocated?

Fire Insurance Premium Tax

What is it?

- Washington collects 2% tax on all insurance premiums
- Portion of premium tax related to fire policies distributed to Firemen's Pension Fund
 - 2% of fire insurance premiums
 - 2% of homeowner's and commercial multiple peril policies; portion attributable to fire losses calculated
- Computed on previous year's taxes

Fire Insurance Premium Tax

How much is collected?

2% of Fire Insurance Premiums	\$2,136,630
Homeowners Fire Loss (41.04%)	\$8,396,059
Comm. Multiple Peril Fire Loss (18.5%)	\$2,431,473
Total 2006 Distribution	\$12,964,162

Fire Insurance Premium Tax

How is it allocated?

40%	Volunteer Firefighter's Plan
25%	Firemen's Pension Fund Municipalities
20%	Fire Service Training Account
15%	State General Fund

Volunteer Firefighter's Pension and Relief Plan

- 2004 Actuarial Valuation
- Fire Insurance Premium Tax
 - \$4,726,000 deposited in benefit fund
- Administration and expenses
 - \$385,000 paid out of benefit fund
 - 8.1% of fire insurance premium tax
 - 2.1% of total fund revenue (\$18,242,000)

Property Tax Levy

- What is it?
- Who can issue the levy?
- Does the tax have to be levied?
- Can the levy be used for other purposes?
- Can the money in a fund be used for other purposes?
- Who is levying the tax and how much is being collected?

Property Tax Levy

What is it?

- Statute “contemplates” a levy up to \$0.45
- It shall be the duty...
 - \$0.225 per \$1,000 of assessed property value
 - “Additional” \$0.225 per \$1,000 of assessed property value (may exceed the \$3.60 limit)

Property Tax Levy

Who can issue the levy?

- A city or town which does not have a regularly organized, full time, paid, fire department is not authorized to levy the property tax.

Property Tax Levy

Who can issue the levy?

- A municipality which first created a full time, paid fire department after March 1, 1970 not authorized to levy property tax.

Property Tax Levy

Who can issue the levy?

- Fire Protection Districts
 - 1955 Legislature added Fire Districts
 - 1961 Technical Corrections

Property Tax Levy

Does the tax have to be levied?

- Imposition of the levy is mandatory when a need exists
- Imposition of the additional levy is likewise mandatory if a need exists

Property Tax Levy

Does the tax have to be levied?

- An actuarial examination and report on the condition of the Firemen's Pension Fund is necessary before deciding not to levy the mandatory tax.

Property Tax Levy

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

- If after examination and report on the condition of the fund, it is determined that the levy is not required for the fund, the tax may be levied and used for another municipal purpose.

Property Tax Levy

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

- RCW 41.26.040(3) does not prohibit a city or town from using property tax revenue for municipal purposes other than the funding of firemen's pensions where other uses are permitted by statute.

Property Tax Levy

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

- Funds may lawfully be used for pension benefits to eligible members and medical expenses incurred by LEOFF Plan 1 fire fighters.

Property Tax Levy

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

- Once in a fund, tax revenue can not be used for anything other than paying benefits. Nothing suggests that the tax can't be levied and placed immediately in a different fund appropriate for other municipal purposes.

Property Tax Levy

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

- Monies in a prior municipal Firemen's Pension Fund may not be transferred to the city, town, or district; however, the Legislature could amend the law to authorize such transfers.

Property Tax Levy

Who is levying the tax?

- Unknown – Dept of Revenue does not track as separate part of the total property tax rate
- 45 cities and 3 districts eligible for fire insurance premium tax distribution

Property Tax Levy

How much is being collected?

- 45 eligible cities assessed property value of \$284 billion (2006)
- Potential revenue generated in 2006 would have been approximately \$64 million

Alternate Revenue Source

Questions?

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS' AND FIRE FIGHTERS' PLAN 2 RETIREMENT BOARD

Alternate Revenue Source Initial Consideration Follow-Up

August 23, 2006

1. Issue

Researching alternate revenue sources was identified as a key tactic for achieving the Board's priority goals of enhancing benefits for the members and maintaining the financial integrity of the plan.

2. Staff

Tim Valencia, Senior Research and Policy Manager
(360) 586-2326
tim.valencia@leoff.wa.gov

3. Members Impacted

Alternate Revenue Source potentially impacts all members and retirees of LEOFF Plan 2. As of September 30, 2004 there were 14,754 active members and 432 retirees as reported in *The Office of the State Actuary's 2004 LEOFF 2 Actuarial Valuation Report*.

4. Current Situation

LEOFF Plan 2 has two sources of revenue, contributions and investment earnings. Contributions are collected as a percentage of pay from the members (7.79%), employers (4.68%), and the State (3.11%). The actual rate of investment return on the market value of assets was 13.73% as reported in the 2004 Actuarial Valuation Report and the market value of assets was reported as just under \$3 billion.

The total revenue as indicated by the change in market value of assets during 2003-2004 totaled \$455 million (\$51 million employee contribution, \$52 million employer/state contribution, \$351 million investment return). Using the aggregate method, LEOFF Plan 2 is 100% funded.

5. Background Information and Policy Issues

Board Strategic Plan

The LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board established several priority goals in the 2004-2009 strategic plan. Two of those priority goals were to “enhance benefits for the members” and “maintain the financial integrity of the plan”. Researching alternate revenue sources was identified as a key tactic for achieving both of these priority goals.

LEOFF Plan 2 Funding and Revenue

As stated in the 2004 LEOFF Plan 2 Actuarial Valuation by the Office of the State Actuary, the funding policy contained in statute outlines the intent to achieve the following goals:

- To provide a dependable and systematic process for funding benefits to members and retirees;
- To continue to fully fund LEOFF Plan 2 as provided by law;
- To establish long-term employer contribution rates that will remain a relatively predictable proportion of the future state budgets; and
- To fund, to the extent feasible, benefit increases over the working lives of those members so that the cost of those benefits are paid by the taxpayers who receive the benefit of those members’ service.

LEOFF Plan 2 has two sources of revenue, contributions and investment earnings. Contributions are collected as a percentage of pay from members (7.79%), employers (4.68%), and the State (3.11%). The actual rate of investment return on the market value of assets was 13.73% as reported in the 2004 Actuarial Valuation and the market value of assets was reported as just under \$3 billion.

The total revenue as indicated by the change in market value of assets during 2003-2004 totaled \$455 million (\$51 million employee contribution, \$52 million employer/state contribution, \$351 million investment return.) Using the aggregate method, LEOFF Plan 2 is 100% funded.

Broad Perspective of Funding and Revenue

Nationally, opinions are mixed on the financial integrity of public pension plans. As a group, state and local pension systems reportedly have nearly 90 percent of the funds required for each dollar they owe in liabilities. However, this still represents a funding gap between assets and liabilities of more than \$260 billion. Individually, many plans are in poor financial shape. One report on public plan funding identified retirement systems in 13 states that had actuarial assets that were less than 75 percent of actuarial liabilities.

Financial problems have occurred in many plans for a variety of reasons including poor investment returns, overly generous benefit enhancements granted during good market times, increasing number of retirements, contribution rate holidays, and some states “failures to properly fund their plans”. Keith Brainard, Research Director for the National Association of

State Retirement Administrators recently commented, “The funding levels of many plans declined so suddenly, that pretty much brought to a halt the discussion of benefit enhancements. That doesn’t mean that it’s going to be gone forever, but for the time being, that seems to have gone off the table as systems focus more on restoring their funding levels.”

Consequently, many states are reviewing different strategies for addressing pension funding issues. Beyond the stock market’s rebound following the market crash, some pension plans have sought alternate revenue sources.

Alternative Revenue Sources

Although most of the consideration given to alternate revenue focuses on shoring up unfunded liabilities, some plans have alternate revenue sources as a means to pay for benefits. These sources are normally ongoing sources used for funding existing benefits or paying for enhanced benefit packages. Common alternative revenue sources include premium taxes on property, casualty, and fire insurance; sales tax; and property tax levies.

Other sources that have been utilized less frequently include the dedication of lottery or gaming revenues, pension obligation bonds, and transfer of state assets (such as real estate) to the pension fund. Appendix B provides examples of various funding mechanisms used by some other states.

Examples of the use of taxes to fund pension benefits can be found in Washington State for the Firemen’s Pension Fund (Pre-LEOFF), the Volunteer Fire Fighters' and Reserve Officers' Relief and Pensions, and the First Class City Police Relief and Pension Fund (Pre-LEOFF).

Washington State Firemen’s Pension Fund

The Washington State Firemen’s Pension Fund pre-dated the current LEOFF System. Although commonly referred to as if a single pension fund, the Firemen’s Pension Fund is actually many separate pension funds that were created in the treasury of each eligible municipality. A municipality for this purpose was defined as a city or a town that established a regularly organized full time, paid, fire department employing firemen prior to March 1, 1970 (Establishment of LEOFF). Fire districts were added to the Firemen’s Pension Fund chapter in 1955 and provided the same rights as municipalities.

Over the lifetime of the fund, benefits have been funded by gifts & bequests, contributions, investment earnings, property tax levies, and fire insurance premium taxes. The next two sections discuss the fire insurance premium tax and the property tax revenue resources in more detail.

Washington Fire Insurance Premium Tax

The state collects a two percent tax on the premiums of all insurance policies written. A portion of the tax collected on fire insurance related policies are distributed to cities/towns and fire districts that have obligations under the Firemen's Pension Fund. This distribution includes premium taxes from fire insurance policies, the fire portion of homeowner's policies, and the fire portion of commercial multiple peril policies.

Beginning in 1947, the pension fund received 45% of the fire insurance premium tax. In 1999, the amount received by the fund was reduced to 25%. The other 20% was reallocated to the fire service training account. The allocation of the fire insurance premium tax is discussed below.

How is it calculated and how much is collected?

The following shows the computation for the 2006 Fire Insurance Premium Tax distribution. The Fire Insurance Premium Tax distribution is derived by the Office of the Insurance Commissioner (OIC) using the premiums collected from the previous calendar year.

The first part of the distribution is calculated from fire insurance policies:

Policy Type	Premiums	×	Rate	=	Distribution
Fire Insurance	\$106,831,500	×	2%	=	\$2,136,630

The distributable amount from Homeowners and Commercial Multiple Peril insurance premiums is then calculated. Only premium taxes attributable to fire losses are included. Premium taxes attributed to losses from such things as burglaries, tornadoes, floods, etc., are not shared with cities. OIC arrive at the percentages attributable to fire losses for Homeowner and Commercial Multiple Peril policies using the loss ratios for fire losses obtained from various insurance statistical service organizations.

POLICY TYPE	FIRE LOSS RATIO
Homeowners	41.04%
Commercial Multiple Peril	18.50%

The premium tax for homeowner and commercial multiple peril policies are multiplied by the loss ratio to determine the distributable amount.

Policy Type	Premiums	×	Rate	=	Premium Tax	×	Loss Ratio	=	Distribution
Homeowners	\$1,022,911,700	×	2%	=	\$20,458,234	×	41.04%	=	\$8,396,059
Com. Multi. Peril	\$657,154,800	×	2%	=	\$13,143,096	×	18.50%	=	\$2,431,473

The total amount of the fire insurance premium tax to be distributed is then calculated by adding all three distribution amounts together.

Fire Insurance Premium Tax Distribution	
2% of Fire Insurance Premiums	\$2,136,630
Homeowners Fire Loss	\$8,396,059
Commercial Multiple Peril Fire Loss	\$2,431,473
Total 2006 Distribution	\$12,964,162

How is it allocated?

The State Treasurer distributes the Fire Insurance Premium Tax to four entities as follows:

- 40% - Volunteer Firefighters’ Relief and Pension Plan (RCW 41.24.030)
- 25% - Firemen’s Pension Fund Cities (RCW 41.16.050)
- 20% - Fire Service Training Account (RCW 43.43.944)
- 15% - State General Fund

Appendix A lists the eligible municipalities and districts with the amounts to be received from the 2006 distribution (25%) to Firemen’s Pension Fund.

Volunteer Firefighters’ Relief and Pension Fund

The Washington State Volunteer Firefighters’ Relief and Pension Plan receives 40% of the Fire Insurance Premiums Tax. According to the 2004 Volunteer Firefighter’s Pension and Relief Fund Actuarial Valuation, the entire fire insurance premium tax is deposited into the benefit fund, in addition to revenues from investment earnings, member contributions, and employer contributions. Administration and expenses are then paid out of the fund. During 2004, the administration and expenses (\$385,000) were 8.1% of the \$4,726,000 received from the fire insurance premium tax. If compared to their total 2004 income (\$18,242,000) administration and expenses was 2.1% of total income.

Property Tax Levy (“Millage Tax”)

At its inception, the Firemen’s Pension Fund’s only tax based revenue source was a property tax that was assed in “mills”. Hence, this property tax was called the “millage tax”. Although the property tax today is levied in dollars rather than mills, the property tax is still often referred to as the “millage tax”. The amount of the property tax has been modified several times as follows:

Year	Amount
1909	½ of one mill until the municipalities fund reached \$25,000
1917	½ of one mill on each dollar of assessed value
1947	1 mill on all taxable property and an additional mill could be levied if necessary to meet the needs of the fund
1970	½ of one mill on all taxable property and an additional ½ of one mill could be levied if necessary to meet the needs of the fund.
1973	\$0.225 per thousand of assessed value and an additional \$0.225 per thousand of assessed value can be levied if necessary to meet the needs of the fund.

Currently, the property tax authorized for the Firemen’s Pension Fund contemplates a total tax levy up to \$0.45 per thousand of assessed property value. The law states that a city, town, or district which has an obligation under the Firemen’s Pension Fund is required to assess a property tax of \$0.225 per thousand dollars of assessed value as part of the municipality’s regular property tax levy.

This part of the tax is often referred to as a “mandatory tax”. However, there is a condition that if a report by a qualified actuary on the condition of the fund establishes that the whole or any part of the levy is not necessary to maintain the actuarial soundness of the fund, the levy may be omitted, or the whole or any part of said dollar rate may be levied and used for any other municipal purpose.

A property tax of \$0.225 can be levied in addition to the \$0.225 mandatory tax if necessary to meet the needs of the fund. This is often referred to as the “additional tax”. The additional tax, like the mandatory tax, may also be omitted or used for other municipal purposes if it’s established that the additional levy is not needed by the fund. The additional \$0.225 tax levy can be in excess of the \$3.60 state maximum levy as authorized by statute.

There are several questions surrounding the property tax levy and its use. The questions have largely been addressed by Attorney General Opinions (AGO). Collectively, there are at least sixteen opinions that address the property tax for Firemen’s Pension Fund under RCW 41.16.060. While there are numerous AGO on this topic, it must be noted that most of these opinions were issued in the 1950’s, 1960’s, and 1970’s. While the answers contained within these AGO still appear to be good and valid, subsequent changes in the statutes could affect their validity. The following addresses the aforementioned questions with reference to the AGO responses.

Who can issue the levy?

Various Attorney General Opinions (AGO) appear to support that a city, town, or district that did not have an established fire department with a Firemen’s Pension Fund prior to March 1, 1970 do not have the taxing authority to issue the \$0.225 property tax levy or the addition \$0.225 additional property tax levy.

The Attorney General (AG) issued an opinion in 1973, that a city or town which does not have a regularly organized full time, paid, fire department is not authorized to levy the property tax provided for by RCW 41.16.060 (AGLO 1973 NO. 93). The AG issued a similar opinion in 1977, that states a municipality which first created a full-time, paid fire department after March 1, 1970, may not levy the tax (AGO 1977 No. 7).

As established in 1947, the municipal Firemen's Pension Fund had no applicability to fire protection districts (AGO 1949-51 No 402, AGO 1961-62 No 023). In 1955, the Legislature amended the pension fund with the intent of allowing paid firemen of a fire protection district to come under the 1947 pension fund law. However, the 1955 legislation did not contain any indication of how that was to be practically accomplished in terms of mechanics and funding. This was later rectified in 1961 making it clear, prospectively, that those fire protection districts which maintained full-time, paid, fire departments employing firemen were eligible to establish a Firemen's Pension Fund, and thereby gained pertinent taxing authority (AGO 1961-62 No. 023).

Does the property tax have to be levied?

In the case of both the mandatory tax and the additional tax, both levies are actually considered mandatory when necessary to maintain the actuarial soundness of the fund (AGO 1955-57 No. 119). However, if the tax is not necessary for the fund the statute provides that the tax may be omitted or used for other municipal purposes. The AG did caution in a 1951 opinion that while an actuarial report and examination were not required in order to levy the tax, such an examination and report would be necessary before deciding not to levy the property tax (AGO 1951-53 No. 34).

Can the levy be used for other purposes?

At least six Attorney General Opinions (AGO) discuss the use of the property tax for other municipal purposes. The earliest and perhaps the most direct discussion on the point of other uses for the property tax is found in AGO 1961-62 No. 40 which states on page 4:

“Assuming then that a determination is in fact made that either or both the "mandatory" mill or the "additional" mill is unnecessary for pension fund purposes for a particular year how should the question of whether this millage may nevertheless be levied for other municipal purposes be answered? On this point we believe that the statute here under consideration is plain, clear, and unambiguous. In essence it provides that if either or both the "mandatory" mill or the "additional" mill is determined to be unnecessary for pension fund purposes for a particular year, then, ". . . the levy of the mandatory or additional one mill may be omitted, or the whole or any part of such millage may be levied and used for any other municipal purpose.”

In other words, upon a determination that if the tax is unnecessary for pension fund purposes for a particular year, the municipality has the alternative of omitting the levy in whole or part, or of levying the tax in whole or part to be used for other municipal purposes.

Echoing this, AGO 1977 No. 007 provides that in the “absence of a necessity to levy the additional millage (now dollar) rate authorized by the statute to meet the needs of the pension fund...RCW 41.16.060 still authorizes the levying of that tax for other municipal purposes.”

Three different AGO address the lawful use of funds once they have been placed in a Firemen’s Pension Fund. The AGO provides that the lawful use of monies in a Firemen’s Pension Fund include paying service retirement or disability benefits to eligible members under said fund and the medical expenses incurred by LEOFF Plan 1 fire fighters as authorized by RCW 41.26.150. As part of this specific discussion, the AG identifies a distinction between the use of monies already placed in a fund and the use of the property tax levy before the monies are placed in the fund. AGO 1977 No. 007 states on page 6:

“It is most certainly true that the revenues of this tax, once placed in a municipal Firemen’s Pension Fund, are not to be used for any purpose other than that of paying the pension benefits provided by chapters 41.16 and 41.18 RCW or for medical services as provided by RCW 41.26.150, supra. However there is nothing in any of those statutes which suggests that RCW 41.16.060 is in any way modified insofar as it allows the additional tax, under certain specified circumstances, also to be levied and used for other municipal purposes in which case (we assume) the revenues derived therefrom would not be placed in the pension funds in the first instance; instead, they would then immediately be placed in some other municipal fund as is appropriate in view of the other municipal purpose involved.”

Lastly, the AG issued an opinion about the use of excess monies in a Firemen’s Pension Fund once the municipality no longer had an obligation under the fund or could show that the funding level was in an excess of the estimated needs of the program. The opinion argued that under current law excess monies in a pension fund could not be transferred out of the fund to the city, town, or district; however, they did recognize that the Legislature could amend the law to authorize such transfers (AGO 1981 No. 007)

Who is levying the tax and how much is being collected?

It is unknown which municipalities may be charging the millage tax and how much is being collected. The Department of Revenue only tracks the total property tax assessed in each municipality and does not track the \$0.225 as a separate part of municipality property taxes.

However, potential scope of the tax can be projected by looking at the property taxes for the forty-five cities that are eligible for the fire insurance premium tax. If all of the eligible cities had charged the \$0.225 tax in 2006, the revenue generated based on 2006 assessed property values (\$284,807,760,168) would have been approximately \$64,081,746.04.

First Class City Police Relief and Pension Fund

The First Class City Police Relief and Pension Fund receives revenue, beyond salary reductions, from funds collected for all licenses issued and from fines and forfeitures collected or received for violation of city ordinances .

6. Supporting Information

Appendices

- **Appendix A: Distribution of Fire Insurance Premium Tax – RCW 41.16**
- **Appendix B: Alternate Revenue Source Examples**

Appendix A: Distribution of Fire Insurance Premium Tax – RCW 41.16

Each city/district is receiving approximately \$741 per active fire fighter. This amount will change year to year depending on the change in total fire fighters and variation in the fire tax premium total. There are 45 cities and 3 districts eligible for the fire tax distribution.

City/District	Number of Paid Firefighters as of January 1, 2006	Amount
Aberdeen	35	\$25,940.18
Anacortes	18	13,340.67
Auburn	76	56,327.25
Bellevue	190	147,488.47
Bellingham	130	96,349.25
Bothell	49	36,316.26
Bremerton	56	41,504.29
Camas	36	26,681.33
Centralia	20	14,822.96
Chehalis	13	9,634.92
Edmonds	52	38,539.70
Ellensburg	21	15,564.11
Everett	179	132,665.50
Hoquiam	23	17,046.41
Kelso	12	8,893.78
Kennewick	75	55,586.11
Kent	149	110,431.06
Kirkland	75	55,586.11
Longview	42	31,128.22
Lynnwood	55	40,763.14
Mercer Island	29	21,493.29
Moses Lake	21	15,561.11
Mount Vernon	35	25,940.18
Olympia	79	58,550.70
Pasco	47	34,833.96
Port Angeles	23	17,046.41
Port Townsend	10	7,411.48
Pullman	31	22,975.59
Puyallup	55	40,463.14
Raymond	13	9,634.92
Redmond	133	98,572.69
Renton	105	77,820.55
Richland	56	41,504.29
Seattle	998	739,665.77

City/District	Number of Paid Firefighters as of January 1, 2006	Amount
Shelton	7	5,188.04
Spokane	277	205,298.01
Sumner	20	14,822.96
Sunnyside	13	9,634.92
Tacoma	398	297,976.93
Toppenish	5	3,705.74
Tukwila	62	45,951.18
Vancouver	179	132,665.50
Walla Walla	46	34,092.81
Wenatchee	34	25,199.03
Yakima	80	59,291.85
King County #2	37	27,422.48
King County #10	120	88,937.77
Spokane County #1	145	107,466.47
Totals	4,373	\$3,241,040.49

Source: Municipal Research and Services Center of Washington

Appendix B: Alternate Revenue Source Examples

State/System	Alternate Funding Source
Colorado Volunteer Fire Fighters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volunteer plans receive funding from property tax revenues, moneys paid or given to the funds, and state matching funds. State matching funds come from insurance premium tax proceeds.
Florida Municipal Police & Fire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participating cities and special fire control districts are eligible to receive annual distributions of state premium tax collections on property and casualty insurance policies written within the city/district limits or boundaries of the participating plan. • The amount of premium taxes collected is equal to 1.85% of all property insurance written within the city limits or boundaries (in the case of fire districts) of the participating plan. • A 0.85% tax is levied on all casualty insurance premiums written within the city limits of the participating plan. These amounts transferred to the Police Officers' and Firefighters' Premium Tax Trust Fund. • These funds are then available for distribution on or before July 1 to the participating pension plans on an annual basis, once the plan has been determined to be in compliance with all applicable statutory requirements. Further funding for these plans is provided by employee contributions, other revenue sources and employer contributions.
Idaho Firefighters Retirement Fund	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding is paid by member contributions, employer contributions and receipts from a fire insurance premium tax.

State/System	Alternate Funding Source
Illinois Fire Fighter Pension for Cities over 500,000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each city shall levy a tax annually upon all taxable property therein for the purpose of providing revenue for the fund. • The city shall levy a tax annually at a rate on the dollar of the value, as equalized or assessed by the Department of Revenue of all taxable property within such city that will produce, when extended, not to exceed an amount equal to the total amount of contributions by the employees to the fund made in the calendar year 2 years prior to the year for which the annual applicable tax is levied, multiplied by 2.23 through the calendar year 1981, and by 2.26 for the year 1982 and for each year thereafter. • To provide revenue for the ordinary death benefit, the city council levies a tax, which is in addition to and exclusive of the taxes authorized to be levied upon all taxable property in the city at such rate per cent of the value of such property as shall be sufficient to produce for each year the sum of \$142,000.
Oklahoma Teacher's Retirement Fund	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The employer contribution rate includes 3.75% of sales, income and other taxes collected by the state, which represents approximately 4.7% of the system membership payroll. School districts contribute 7.05%. The Oklahoma Legislature in 2005 approved a bill dedicating a portion of lottery revenues. • Earmarked (for \$6 billion in pension liabilities) a 5% portion, estimated at \$3.1 million this year, of the state's new lottery approved by voters last year.
Rhode Island	<p>City of Newport Utilizes City's share of hotel/motel tax revenue as dedicated pension revenue source.</p> <p>A 2004 state proposal outlined a series of potential revenue streams to inject into the pension plans to increase overall assets, thereby reducing the projected unfunded pension liabilities. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fiscal Fitness Proposals – Using the proceeds from the sale of State properties to buttress the State pension systems rather than permitting the resources to transfer to the State's General Revenue Fund. • Gaming Revenues – Dedicating net growth in State gaming revenues to the pension system. Half of the funds would go to reduce the unfunded liability and the other half to reduce the Employer contribution. • Surplus Funding Proposal – Earmarking surplus funds to reduce the pension Capital Fund liability. Fifty percent of any State end-year balance that exceeds \$30.0 million would be earmarked. In other

State/System	Alternate Funding Source
	<p>words, \$0.50 of every \$1.00 the State has in surplus in excess of \$30.0 million would go to the State's pension systems.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital Fund Accounts – Redirecting funds from the State's Sinking Fund (designed for debt relief) and the State Capital Fund to reduce the unfunded liability. • Municipal Pension Plans – Exploring whether municipalities can redirect over-funded pension assets to teacher pensions to reduce the teacher unfunded liability.
<p>Washington Firemen's Pension Fund RCW 41.16 (Pre-LEOFF)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The state collects a two percent tax on the premiums of all insurance policies written. Twenty-five percent of the tax collected on fire policies and the fire component of homeowner's and commercial multi-peril policies, are distributed to cities and fire districts that have Firemen's Pension Funds. Premiums that attributed to losses from such things as burglaries, tornadoes, floods, etc., are not shared with cities. For the homeowner's and commercial multi-peril policies, actual data is collected on the loss experience due to fire as a percent of total losses. These percentages are then applied to the total premium taxes collected from these policies to get the taxes attributed to the fire component. In 2005 the state distributed \$3,004,755.02 to cities and districts from the fire insurance premium tax. • Funding sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All bequests, fees, gifts, emoluments, or donations given or paid thereto; • twenty-five percent of all moneys received by the state from taxes on fire insurance premiums; • taxes paid pursuant to the provisions of RCW 41.16.060; • interest on the investments of the fund; and • Contributions by fire fighters as provided for herein. • If a report by a qualified actuary establishes that all or any part of the additional twenty-two and one-half cents per thousand dollars of assessed value levy is unnecessary to meet the estimated demands on the fund under this chapter for the ensuing budget year, the levy of said additional twenty-two and one-half cents per thousand dollars of assessed value may be omitted, or the whole or any part of such dollar rate may be levied and used for any other municipal purpose

State/System	Alternate Funding Source
<p>Washington</p> <p>First Class City Police Relief and Pension RCW 41.20(Pre-LEOFF)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the time the annual tax levy of the city is made, the city council, or other legislative body, shall order the transfer of an amount of money into the fund, sufficient with the salary deductions, to meet the financial requirements thereof: (1) From moneys collected or received from all licenses issued; (2) From fines and forfeitures collected or received in money for violation of city ordinances.
<p>Washington</p> <p>RCW 41.26.040 – Creation of LEOFF</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All funds held by any firemen's or policemen's relief and pension fund shall remain in that fund for the purpose of paying the obligations of the fund. The municipality shall continue to levy the dollar rate as provided in RCW 41.16.060, and this dollar rate shall be used for the purpose of paying the benefits provided in chapters 41.16 and 41.18 RCW. The obligations of chapter 41.20 RCW shall continue to be paid from whatever financial sources the city has been using for this purpose.
<p>Washington</p> <p>RCW 41.24.030 – Volunteer Firefighters' Relief and Pension Fund</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bequests, fees, gifts, emoluments or donations Annual fees from members and/or employers. Membership includes firefighters, reserve officers and emergency workers. 40% of all moneys received by the state from taxes on fire insurance premiums are paid into the administrative fund. The current tax on fire insurance premiums is 2% of the premium amount.
<p>West Virginia</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Fire Protection Fund receives one-half of one percent of insurance premium state-wide annually and distributes a prescribed amount to each qualifying fire department. The insurance premium tax generated \$350,000 in 2004 for the fund. Recent state proposal to sell \$5.5 billion in pension obligation bonds to fund the state's teachers, state police and judicial pension programs.